## ECON 200 (3): The Economic Way of Thinking

Fall Semester 2021 | Yixiao Jiang | Course CIP Code: 45.0601

## Summative

| $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ \| Adjusted |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{\perp} \mid$ Raw |  |
| $\Gamma$ | \| 3 Point Plus/Minus |

Your Average Scores 5 Point Scale


## Your Overall Converted Ratings

| Ratings of Summative Questions | Raw | Adj. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D. Excellent Teacher | 55 | 62 |
| IDEA | 57 | 63 |
| Discipline | 54 | 61 |
| Institution |  |  |
| E. Excellent Course | 41 | 51 |
| IDEA | 45 | 53 |
| Discipline | 41 | 52 |
| Institution |  |  |


| Converted Average Buckets <br> Based on a Bell Curve |
| :--- |
| Much Lower Lower Similar Higher Much Higher <br> (Lowest 10\%) (Next 20\%) (Middle 40\%) <br> (Next 20\%) <br> (Highest 10\%) <br> (N) or Lower <br> 38-44 $\mathbf{4 5 - 5 5}$ $\mathbf{5 6 - 6 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{6 3}$ or Higher |

Your Converted Average




|  |  |  |  |  |  | Your C | nver | d Aver |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Your <br> Avera <br> Point | (5 <br> cale) | $\%$ of <br> Stude <br> Rating |  | IDEA |  | Discip | ine | Institu | tion |
| Student Ratings of Learning on Relevant Objectives | Importance <br> Rating | Raw | Adj. | 1 or 2 | 4 or 5 | Raw | Adj. | Raw | Adj. | Raw | Adj. |
| Gaining a basic understanding of the subject (e.g., factual knowledge, methods, principles, generalizations, theories) | I | 4 | 4.5 | 6 | 76 | 47 | 57 | 51 | 58 | 47 | 57 |
| Developing knowledge and understanding of diverse perspectives, global awareness, or other cultures | M | 2.9 | 3.2 | 41 | 41 | 34 | 38 | 40 | 44 | 41 | 45 |
| Learning to apply course material (to improve thinking, problem solving, and decisions) | 1 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 18 | 53 | 38 | 47 | 43 | 50 | 40 | 50 |
| Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view needed by professionals in the field most closely related to this course | M | 3.4 | 3.9 | 24 | 53 | 35 | 45 | 42 | 50 | 38 | 48 |
| Acquiring skills in working with others as a member of a team | M | 2.2 | 2.4 | 59 | 24 | 24 | 28 | 36 | 40 | 32 | 38 |
| Developing creative capacities (inventing; designing; writing; performing in art, music, drama, etc.) | M | 2.1 | 2.4 | 71 | 24 | 28 | 32 | 39 | 43 | 34 | 40 |
| Gaining a broader understanding and appreciation of intellectual/cultural activity (music, science, literature, etc.) | M | 2.2 | 2.4 | 65 | 35 | 26 | 28 | 39 | 41 | 31 | 36 |
| Developing skill in expressing myself orally or in writing | M | 2.6 | 2.9 | 41 | 24 | 29 | 33 | 40 | 43 | 34 | 40 |
| Learning how to find, evaluate, and use resources to explore a topic in depth | M | 3 | 3.3 | 29 | 35 | 33 | 39 | 40 | 45 | 39 | 46 |
| Developing ethical reasoning and/or ethical decision making | M | 2.8 | 3.1 | 47 | 35 | 32 | 38 | 40 | 45 | 43 | 49 |
| Learning to analyze and critically evaluate ideas, arguments, and points of view | M | 3 | 3.2 | 29 | 41 | 32 | 37 | 39 | 44 | 38 | 44 |
| Learning to apply knowledge and skills to benefit others or serve the public good | M | 2.9 | 3.4 | 41 | 41 | 32 | 41 | 41 | 48 | 42 | 51 |
| Learning appropriate methods for collecting, analyzing, and interpreting numerical information | M | 3.5 | 4 | 18 | 59 | 45 | 52 | 45 | 53 | 48 | 54 |


|  | Your Converted Average |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Course Description | Your | IDEA | Discipline | Institution |
| Amount of coursework | 2.8 | 39 | 41 | 42 |
| Difficulty of subject matter | 2.9 | 41 | 36 | 41 |


|  | Your Converted Average |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Student Description | Your <br> Average | IDEA | Discipline | Institution |
| As a rule, I put forth more effort than <br> other students on academic work. | 3.4 | 34 | 37 | 37 |
| I really wanted to take this course re- <br> gardless of who taught it. | 2.9 | 32 | 36 | 34 |
| When this course began I believed I <br> could master its content. | 3.5 | 38 | 44 | 40 |
| My background prepared me well for <br> this course's requirements. | 2.8 | 30 | 38 | 32 |

## Formative

| Teaching Essentials | Your <br> Average | Students Rating |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | Suggested Action

## Quantitative

| Describe the frequency of your instructor's teaching procedures. <br> The Instructor: | Hardly Ever | Occasional ly | Sometimes | Frequently | Almost Always | N | DNA | SD | M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Found ways to help students answer their own questions | 0\% (0) | 0\% (0) | 17.65\% (3) | 47.06\% (8) | 35.29\% (6) | 17 | 0 | 0.71 | 4.18 |
| Helped students to interpret subject matter from diverse perspectives (e.g., different cultures, religions, genders, political views) | 11.76\% (2) | 17.65\% (3) | 17.65\% (3) | 35.29\% (6) | 17.65\% (3) | 17 | 0 | 1.27 | 3.29 |
| Encouraged students to reflect on and evaluate what they have learned | 0\% (0) | 5.88\% (1) | 11.76\% (2) | 41.18\% (7) | 41.18\% (7) | 17 | 0 | 0.86 | 4.18 |
| Demonstrated the importance and significance of the subject matter | 0\% (0) | 0\% (0) | 17.65\% (3) | 47.06\% (8) | 35.29\% (6) | 17 | 0 | 0.71 | 4.18 |
| Formed teams or groups to facilitate learning | 47.06\% (8) | 23.53\% (4) | 17.65\% (3) | 11.76\% (2) | 0\% (0) | 17 | 0 | 1.06 | 1.94 |
| Made it clear how each topic fit into the course | 0\% (0) | 5.88\% (1) | 11.76\% (2) | 17.65\% (3) | 64.71\% (11) | 17 | 0 | 0.91 | 4.41 |
| Provided meaningful feedback on students' academic performance | 11.76\% (2) | 5.88\% (1) | 11.76\% (2) | 29.41\% (5) | 41.18\% (7) | 17 | 0 | 1.34 | 3.82 |
| Stimulated students to intellectual effort beyond that required by most courses | 0\% (0) | 5.88\% (1) | 41.18\% (7) | 35.29\% (6) | 17.65\% (3) | 17 | 0 | 0.84 | 3.65 |
| Encouraged students to use multiple resources (e.g., Internet, library holdings, outside experts) to improve understanding | 17.65\% (3) | 5.88\% (1) | 41.18\% (7) | 29.41\% (5) | 5.88\% (1) | 17 | 0 | 1.14 | 3 |
| Explained course material clearly and concisely | 0\% (0) | 0\% (0) | 0\% (0) | 41.18\% (7) | 58.82\% (10) | 17 | 0 | 0.49 | 4.59 |
| Describe the frequency of your instructor's teaching procedures. <br> The Instructor: | Hardly Ever | Occasional ly | Sometimes | Frequently | Almost Always | N | DNA | SD | M |
| Related course material to real life situations | 0\% (0) | 0\% (0) | 17.65\% (3) | 17.65\% (3) | 64.71\% (11) | 17 | 0 | 0.78 | 4.47 |
| Created opportunities for students to apply course content outside the classroom | 17.65\% (3) | 17.65\% (3) | 17.65\% (3) | 41.18\% (7) | 5.88\% (1) | 17 | 0 | 1.24 | 3 |
| Introduced stimulating ideas about the subject | 5.88\% (1) | 11.76\% (2) | 11.76\% (2) | 41.18\% (7) | $29.41 \%$ (5) | 17 | 0 | 1.16 | 3.76 |
| Involved students in hands-on projects such as research, case studies, or real life activities | 11.76\% (2) | $29.41 \%$ (5) | 29.41\% (5) | 17.65\% (3) | 11.76\% (2) | 17 | 0 | 1.18 | 2.88 |
| Inspired students to set and achieve goals which really challenged them | 5.88\% (1) | 23.53\% (4) | 23.53\% (4) | 41.18\% (7) | 5.88\% (1) | 17 | 0 | 1.04 | 3.18 |
| Asked students to share ideas and experiences with others whose backgrounds and viewpoints differ from their own | $29.41 \%$ (5) | 11.76\% (2) | 23.53\% (4) | 35.29\% (6) | 0\% (0) | 17 | 0 | 1.23 | 2.65 |
| Asked students to help each other understand ideas or concepts | 35.29\% (6) | 11.76\% (2) | 17.65\% (3) | 35.29\% (6) | 0\% (0) | 17 | 0 | 1.29 | 2.53 |
| Gave projects, tests, or assignments that required original or creative thinking | 11.76\% (2) | 17.65\% (3) | 17.65\% (3) | 41.18\% (7) | 11.76\% (2) | 17 | 0 | 1.21 | 3.24 |
| Encouraged student-faculty interaction outside of class (e.g., office visits, phone calls, email) | 0\% (0) | 5.88\% (1) | 23.53\% (4) | 41.18\% (7) | $29.41 \%$ (5) | 17 | 0 | 0.87 | 3.94 |



|  | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | N | DNA | SD | M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| My personal technological resources were adequate for successfully completing this course. | 35.29\% (6) | 58.82\% (10) | 5.88\% (1) | 0\% (0) | 0\% (0) | 17 | 0 | - | - |

## Qualitative

## Comments -

- Professor Jiang is a great professor. Sometimes he skips over stuff quickly and I cannot keep up, but most of the time he is able to set up meetings outside of class if you do not understand.
- I loved this class in a way that I've never really enjoyed a math-related course before. I felt that its level of difficulty was great, and the way in which you taught is very easy to understand and you provide good examples, too. Despite my praise, though - there is one big problem I have with the course - we barely did any group work during the semester, and I think that would help to bolster learning in the course and make it overall more enjoyable.
- Good professor. Would have liked the class more if I actually enjoyed/was interested in econ.
- excellent teacher
- I liked Ethan as a professor but the course was not very engaging. I like that he provided power points so that we could go back to them. He really cares about student success and will help students any way he can.
- He is always very friendly and helpful with answering any questions in class or through email
- He loves what he is teaching. I hate economics but had to take it. His passion about the subject made me more interested.
- Dr. Ethan is the best econ teacher I've ever had. He keeps the material interesting and is very passionate about teaching
- The homework was very helpful.
- I appreciate the homework problems and the test reviews provided. They were very helpful. I appreciated being able to take my tests online, especially since I often have test taking anxiety. This was comforting! Please know that I appreciate you!

