7/25/2021 - Campus Labs

ECON 202 (ON2): Principles of Microeconomics


Fall Semester 2020 | Yixiao Jiang | Course CIP Code: 45.0601

26 | Students Enrolled 13 | Students Responded 50% | Response Rate

Summative



Your Average Scores 5 Point Scale



Your Overall Mean Ratings 5 Point Scale


Ratings of Summative Questions	Raw	Adj.
D. Excellent Teacher	4.7	4.8
E. Excellent Course	4.2	4.4


Your Overall Converted Ratings


Ratings of Summative Questions	Raw	Adj.
D. Excellent Teacher		
IDEA	56	59
Discipline	58	60
Institution	56	58
E. Excellent Course		
IDEA	51	55
Discipline	54	56
Institution	51	55

Converted Average Buckets Based on a Bell Curve









					Your Converted Average								
		Your A age (5 Scale)	Point	% of Sidents	tu- Rating	IDEA		Discip	line	Institu	ition		
Student Ratings of Learning on Relevant Objectives	Importance Rating	Raw	Adj.	1 or 2	4 or 5	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.		
Gaining a basic understanding of the subject (e.g., factual knowledge, methods, principles, generalizations, theories)	I	4.1	4.2	8	75	49	52	52	54	49	52		
Developing knowledge and understanding of diverse perspectives, global awareness, or other cultures	М	3.6	3.7	17	42	44	46	48	50	48	50		
Learning to apply course material (to improve thinking, problem solving, and decisions)	1	4.1	4.3	8	75	50	54	53	55	51	55		
Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view needed by professionals in the field most closely related to this course	М	4	4.2	8	75	48	52	53	55	49	54		
Acquiring skills in working with others as a member of a team	М	3.2	3.2	42	42	39	39	46	48	42	45		
Developing creative capacities (inventing; designing; writing; performing in art, music, drama, etc.)	М	2.9	3.1	50	42	39	41	47	49	42	46		
Gaining a broader understanding and appreciation of intellectual/cultural activity (music, science, literature, etc.)	М	3.2	3.2	42	42	40	41	49	50	43	45		
Developing skill in expressing myself orally or in writing	М	3	3	42	42	36	36	44	45	40	42		
Learning how to find, evaluate, and use resources to explore a topic in depth	М	3.3	3.4	33	42	39	40	44	46	43	46		
Developing ethical reasoning and/or ethical decision making	М	3.3	3.3	33	42	40	41	46	47	48	50		
Learning to analyze and critically evaluate ideas, arguments, and points of view	М	3.3	3.3	25	42	38	38	44	44	42	44		
Learning to apply knowledge and skills to benefit others or serve the public good	M	3.5	3.7	17	50	42	44	48	50	49	53		
Learning appropriate methods for collecting, analyzing, and interpreting numerical information	М	4	4.1	8	75	53	54	53	55	54	55		

		Your	Your Converted Average				
Course Description	Your Average	IDEA	Discipline	Institution			
Amount of coursework	3	44	45	46			
Difficulty of subject matter	3.3	50	44	49			

		Your Converted Average					
Student Description	Your Average	IDEA	Discipline	Institution			
As a rule, I put forth more effort than other students on academic work.	3.7	45	46	46			
I really wanted to take this course regardless of who taught it.	3.3	40	43	41			
When this course began I believed I could master its content.	3.5	38	44	40			
My background prepared me well for this course's requirements.	3.7	48	53	48			

Formative

Teaching Essentials	Your Average	Students Rating	Suggested Action
Demonstrated the importance and significance of the subject matter	4.6	0% (1 or 2)	You employed the method more frequently than those teaching classes of sim-
		100% (4 or 5)	ilar size and level of student motivation.
Made it clear how each topic fit into the course	4.5	0% (1 or 2)	You employed the method with frequency typical of those teaching classes of
		85% (4 or 5)	similar size and level of student motivation.
Explained course material clearly and concisely	4.6	0% (1 or 2)	You employed the method more frequently than those teaching classes of sim-
		92% (4 or 5)	ilar size and level of student motivation.
Introduced stimulating ideas about the subject	4.4	8% (1 or 2)	You employed the method with frequency typical of those teaching classes of
		85% (4 or 5)	similar size and level of student motivation.
Inspired students to set and achieve goals which really challenged them	3.6	31% (1 or 2)	You employed the method less frequently than those teaching classes of sim-
		46% (4 or 5)	ilar size and level of student motivation.

Reflective and Integrative Learning	Your Average	Students Rating	Suggested Action
Encouraged students to reflect on and evaluate what they have learned	4.5	0% (1 or 2)	You employed the method more frequently than those teaching classes of sim-
		100% (4 or 5)	ilar size and level of student motivation.
Stimulated students to intellectual effort beyond that required by most	3.9	8% (1 or 2)	You employed the method with frequency typical of those teaching classes of
courses		62% (4 or 5)	similar size and level of student motivation.
Related course material to real life situations	4.3	8% (1 or 2)	You employed the method with frequency typical of those teaching classes of
		85% (4 or 5)	similar size and level of student motivation.
Created opportunities for students to apply course content outside the	4.1	15% (1 or 2)	You employed the method with frequency typical of those teaching classes of
classroom		69% (4 or 5)	similar size and level of student motivation.

Collaborative Learning	Your Average	Students Rating	Suggested Action
Active Learning	Your Average	Students Rating	Suggested Action

Quantitative

25/2021					- Campus Labs				
Describe the frequency of your instructor's teaching procedures.	Hardly Ever	Occasion- ally	Sometimes	Frequently	Almost Always	<u>N</u>	DNA	SD	M
The Instructor:									
Found ways to help students answer their own questions	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	38.46% (5)	61.54% (8)	13	0	0.49	4.62
Helped students to interpret subject matter from diverse perspectives (e.g., different cultures, religions, genders, political views)	23.08% (3)	0% (0)	23.08% (3)	0% (0)	53.85% (7)	13	0	1.64	3.62
Encouraged students to reflect on and evaluate what they have learned	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	46.15% (6)	53.85% (7)	13	0	0.5	4.54
Demonstrated the importance and significance of the subject matter	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	38.46% (5)	61.54% (8)	13	0	0.49	4.62
Formed teams or groups to facilitate learning	46.15% (6)	15.38% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	38.46% (5)	13	0	1.86	2.69
Made it clear how each topic fit into the course	0% (0)	0% (0)	15.38% (2)	23.08% (3)	61.54% (8)	13	0	0.75	4.46
Provided meaningful feedback on stu- dents' academic performance	0% (0)	15.38% (2)	15.38% (2)	23.08% (3)	46.15% (6)	13	0	1.11	4
Stimulated students to intellectual ef- fort beyond that required by most courses	7.69% (1)	0% (0)	30.77% (4)	15.38% (2)	46.15% (6)	13	0	1.21	3.92
Encouraged students to use multiple resources (e.g., Internet, library holdings, outside experts) to improve understanding	7.69% (1)	7.69% (1)	23.08% (3)	23.08% (3)	38.46% (5)	13	0	1.25	3.77
Explained course material clearly and concisely	0% (0)	0% (0)	7.69% (1)	23.08% (3)	69.23% (9)	13	0	0.62	4.62
Describe the frequency of your instructor's teaching procedures.	Hardly Ever	Occasion- ally	Sometimes	Frequently	Almost Always	N	DNA	SD	M
The Instructor:									
Related course material to real life situations	7.69% (1)	0% (0)	7.69% (1)	23.08% (3)	61.54% (8)	13	0	1.14	4.31
Created opportunities for students to apply course content outside the classroom	7.69% (1)	7.69% (1)	15.38% (2)	7.69% (1)	61.54% (8)	13	0	1.33	4.08
Introduced stimulating ideas about the subject	0% (0)	7.69% (1)	7.69% (1)	23.08% (3)	61.54% (8)	13	0	0.92	4.38
Involved students in hands-on projects such as research, case studies, or real life activities	7.69% (1)	23.08% (3)	23.08% (3)	15.38% (2)	30.77% (4)	13	0	1.33	3.38
Inspired students to set and achieve goals which really challenged them	0% (0)	30.77% (4)	23.08% (3)	0% (0)	46.15% (6)	13	0	1.33	3.62
Asked students to share ideas and ex- periences with others whose back- grounds and viewpoints differ from their own	30.77% (4)	15.38% (2)	15.38% (2)	7.69% (1)	30.77% (4)	13	0	1.64	2.92
Asked students to help each other understand ideas or concepts	23.08% (3)	0% (0)	15.38% (2)	7.69% (1)	53.85% (7)	13	0	1.64	3.69
Gave projects, tests, or assignments that required original or creative thinking	15.38% (2)	7.69% (1)	15.38% (2)	15.38% (2)	46.15% (6)	13	0	1.49	3.69
Encouraged student-faculty interaction outside of class (e.g., office visits, phone calls, email)	7.69% (1)	7.69% (1)	0% (0)	30.77% (4)	53.85% (7)	13	0	1.23	4.15

Describe your progress on:	No Appar- ent Progress	Slight Progress	Moderate Progress	Substan- tial Progress	tional	ss	Ņ	DNA	SD	M
Gaining a basic understanding of the subject (e.g., factual knowledge, meth- ods, principles, generalizations, theories)	0% (0)	8.33% (1)	16.67% (2)	33.33% (4	41.67%	(5)	12	0	0.95	4.08
Developing knowledge and understand- ing of diverse perspectives, global awareness, or other cultures	0% (0)	16.67% (2)	41.67% (5)	8.33% (1)	33.33%	(4)	12	0	1.11	3.58
Learning to <i>apply</i> course material (to improve thinking, problem solving, and decisions)	0% (0)	8.33% (1)	16.67% (2)	33.33% (4	41.67%	(5)	12	0	0.95	4.08
Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view needed by profes- sionals in the field most closely related to this course	0% (0)	8.33% (1)	16.67% (2)	41.67% (5	5) 33.33%	(4)	12	0	0.91	4
Acquiring skills in working with others as a member of a team	25% (3)	16.67% (2)	16.67% (2)	0% (0)	41.67%	(5)	12	0	1.67	3.17
Developing creative capacities (invent- ing; designing; writing; performing in art, music, drama, etc.)	41.67% (5)	8.33% (1)	8.33% (1)	0% (0)	41.67%	(5)	12	0	1.85	2.92
Gaining a broader understanding and appreciation of intellectual/cultural activity (music, science, literature, etc.)	25% (3)	16.67% (2)	16.67% (2)	0% (0)	41.67%	(5)	12	0	1.67	3.17
Developing skill in expressing myself or- ally or in writing	33.33% (4)	8.33% (1)	16.67% (2)	8.33% (1)	33.33%	(4)	12	0	1.68	3
Learning how to find, evaluate, and use resources to explore a topic in depth	16.67% (2)	16.67% (2)	25% (3)	0% (0)	41.67%	(5)	12	0	1.55	3.33
Developing ethical reasoning and/or eth- ical decision making	16.67% (2)	16.67% (2)	25% (3)	8.33% (1)	33.33%	(4)	12	0	1.48	3.25
Learning to <i>analyze</i> and <i>critically evaluate</i> ideas, arguments, and points of view	25% (3)	0% (0)	33.33% (4)	0% (0)	41.67%	(5)	12	0	1.6	3.33
Learning to apply knowledge and skills to benefit others or serve the public good	16.67% (2)	0% (0)	33.33% (4)	16.67% (2	2) 33.33%	(4)	12	0	1.38	3.5
Learning appropriate methods for col- lecting, analyzing, and interpreting nu- merical information	8.33% (1)	0% (0)	16.67% (2)	33.33% (4	41.67%	(5)	12	0	1.15	4
The Course: On the next two items, compare this course with others you have taken at this institution.	Much Less than Most Courses	Less than Most Courses	About Average	More tha Most Courses	n Much More t Most Course		<u>N</u>	DNA	SD	M
Amount of coursework	0% (0)	16.67% (2)	66.67% (8)	16.67% (2	2) 0% (0)		12	0	0.58	3
Difficulty of subject matter	0% (0)	16.67% (2)	50% (6)	16.67% (2	2) 16.67%	(2)	12	0	0.94	3.33
For the following items, choose the option that best corresponds to your judgment.	Definitely False	More False than True	In Between	More Tru than Fals		ely	<u>N</u>	DNA	SD	M
As a rule, I put forth more effort than other students on academic work.	0% (0)	8.33% (1)	33.33% (4)	41.67% (5	5) 16.67%	(2)	12	0	0.85	3.67
I really wanted to take this course regardless of who taught it.	25% (3)	0% (0)	25% (3)	25% (3)	25% (3)		12	0	1.48	3.25
When this course began I believed I could master its content.	8.33% (1)	16.67% (2)	25% (3)	16.67% (2	2) 33.33%	(4)	12	0	1.32	3.5
My background prepared me well for this course's requirements.	0% (0)	25% (3)	16.67% (2)	25% (3)	33.33%	(4)	12	0	1.18	3.67
Overall, I rate this instructor an excellent teacher.	0% (0)	0% (0)	8.33% (1)	16.67% (2	2) 75% (9)		12	0	0.62	4.67
Overall, I rate this course as excellent.	0% (0)	0% (0)	33.33% (4)	16.67% (2	2) 50% (6)		12	0	0.9	4.17
	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutra	l Di	sagree	Strongly Disagree	<u>N</u>	DNA	SD	M
The online delivery method that my pro- fessor used (interactive sessions, recor- ded lectures, etc.) was effective in meet- ing the course learning objectives.	33.33% (4)	41.67% (5)	16.67%	(2) 0%	i (0)	8.33% (1)	12	0	-	-
	Positively	Negativel	y Has No pacted				<u>N</u>	DNA	SD	M
How has online instruction impacted your learning in the course?	25% (3)	16.67% (2)	•				12	0	-	-
	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutra	l Di	sagree	Strongly Disagree	Ņ	DNA	SD	M
Course activities and assignments facil- itated my understanding of the revised course material.	25% (3)	58.33% (7)	8.33% (1) 0%	i (0)	8.33% (1)	12	0	-	-
	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutra	l Di	sagree	Strongly Disagree	<u>N</u>	DNA	SD	M

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	<u>N</u>	DNA	SD	M
My personal technological resources were adequate for successfully completing this course.	58.33% (7)	33.33% (4)	8.33% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	12	0	-	-

Qualitative

Comments -

- great teacher. quality course
- I felt as though I took this course because originally I had planned on being a business major but when I changed my mind my interest in the course decreased greatly. Even before I switched, I found that the way the material was taught was very boring and hard to understand.
- Amazing professor! Made lecture super fun! I loved how he structured the classes and how everything was so organized.
- He is a great professor. I think he explains things very clearly through his powerpoint and when he writes on the whiteboard. Gives lots of good real-life examples to help comprehend the
- It has been a fun semester. I really enjoyed this class!
- Very helpful professor and reasonable grader
- · No comment.
- $\bullet \quad \text{Great teacher} \text{understands and explains materials. Constantly looking to improve course for his students. A mazing.}\\$
- Professor Ethan is extremely helpful and knowledgeable. He is clear in his explanations and makes time to make sure his students understand the material he teaches. Overall, good easy class that I would recommend to others.
- · Amazing professor!!